

BOSTON, MA 02110

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/697,233	10/26/2000		Joseph T. Pych	NMC-003.01	3470	
25181	7590	03/15/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY HO	•		ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K			
PATENT GF	ROUP, W	ORLD TRADE	CENTER WEST			
155 SEAPOI	RT BLVD	ı	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		J	
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- h
₩	09/697,233	PYCH, JOSEPH T.	-
<b>│ Office Action Summary</b>	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Akiba K Robinson-Boyce	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D	<u>ecember 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	wn from consideration. Fare rejected.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/27/04 has been entered.

#### Status of Claims

2. Due to communications filed 5/19/04, the following is a non-final office action. Claims 15, 16, 19-32, 44, 54-55 and 58 are cancelled. Claims 17 and 56 are amended. Claims 1-14, 17, 18, 33-43, 45-53, 56 and 57 are pending in this application and have been examined on the merits. Claims 1-14, 17, 18, 33-43, 45-53, 56 and 57 are rejected as follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,233 Page 3

Art Unit: 3623

Claims 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "service" in claim 14 is a relative term that renders the claims indefinite. The term "service" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Because the system is defined as a "service", one can determine that the system is a non-hardware and can merely be people that manually carry out the steps of the claim. Since it is not clear as to what the content of the system is, the entire claim and the scope of the invention unclear, therefore making claim 14 and all claims that depend from it (claims 17 and 18) indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-8 and 33-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to a non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,233

Art Unit: 3623

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful art" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

Page 4

In the present case, claim 1 is directed to a method for generating a targeted list of prospective customers for a first list purchaser. Claim 1 recites the steps of "providing a database of prospect lists...", "identifying, in the database of prospect lists, one or more successful lists of prospective customers in which each such list was used by the first list purchaser...", "identifying, in the database of prospect lists, at least one other list purchaser who used one or more of the one or more successful lists...", "for each of the at least one other list purchaser, identifying, in the database of prospect list, one or more successful lists of prospective customers in which each such list was used by the list purchaser...", and "providing access to the first list purchaser to a targeted list of prospective customers...". These steps produce a tangible result, but represent mere ideas in the abstract since they only recite a database. However, this database doesn't necessarily mean an electronic database, but merely a collection of information, and could just be a collection of paper files. Because of this reason, claim 1 and all other claims that depend from it (claims 2-8) are therefore found to be non-statutory.

In the present case, claim 33 is directed to a method for generating a targeted list of prospective customers for a first list purchaser. Claim 33 recites "identifying, in a computer database of prospect lists, a successful list of prospective customers that was used by the first list purchaser...", "identifying, in the computer database, at least one other prospect list that includes at least one of the prospective customers...", and "providing access to the first list purchaser to a targeted list of prospective customers...". These steps produce a tangible result, but represent mere ideas in the abstract since the computer database recited is being recited in a trivial manner. In this case, the computer database is being used as a pen and paper analogy only for identification purposes. This computer database is not implemented as software embodied on a tangible medium to help provide access to the targeted list. For this reason, claim 33 and all claims that depend from it (claims 34-43) are therefore found to be non-statutory.

## **Conclusion**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Akiba K Robinson-Boyce whose telephone number is 703-305-1340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday 8:30am-5pm, and Wednesday, 8:30 am-12:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 703-305-9643. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7238

Application/Control Number: 09/697,233

Art Unit: 3623

Page 6

[After final communications, labeled "Box AF"], 703-746-7239 [Official Communications], and 703-746-7150 [Informal/Draft Communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"].

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Ă. R. В

March 4, 2005

TARIQ R. HAFIZ

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600